

# Distributed Systems

## 600.437

### Synchronous Models for Consensus

Department of Computer Science  
The Johns Hopkins University

# Synchronous Models For Consensus

## Lecture 2

Further reading:

Distributed Algorithms  
Nancy Lynch,  
Morgan Kaufmann Publishers.

# Distributed Consensus




Yair Amir

Fall 16 / Lecture 2

3

## No Faults Problem Description

### Assumptions:



- $n$  processes connected by a full graph.
- Each process starts with an initial value  $\{0, 1\}$ .
- **Synchronous settings:** every message is received (if not lost) in the same epoch in which it is sent.
- **No Faults case:** No process faults or message omissions.
- solution is required within  $r$  rounds for some fixed  $r$ .

Yair Amir

Fall 16 / Lecture 2

4

## No Faults Problem Description (cont.)

### Requirements:

- **Agreement:** All processes decide on the same value.
- **Validity:** If a process decides on a value, there was a process that started with that value.

What if we eliminate the validity requirement ?

## No Faults Problem Description (cont.)


### Requirements:

- **Agreement:** All processes decide on the same value.
- **Validity:** If a process decides on a value, there was a process that started with that value.


The validity requirement eliminates trivial meaningless solutions.

## No Faults One-Round Algorithm

- Send your value to all the processes.
- If all the values you have (including your own) are 1 then decide 1. Otherwise decide 0.



## Message Omissions Problem Description



### Assumptions:

- $n$  processes connected by a full graph.
- Each process starts with an initial value  $\{0, 1\}$ .
- Synchronous setting - solution is required within  $r$  rounds for some fixed  $r$ .
- **Any number of messages may be lost.**

## Message Omissions Problem Description (cont.)

### Requirements:

- **Agreement:** All processes decide on the same value.
- **Validity:** If all processes start with 0, then the decision value is 0; if all processes start with 1 and no message is lost, then the decision value is 1.

Notice that the validity requirement is **weaker** than the original validity requirement.

## Message Omissions Consensus is Not Solvable!

**Theorem:** There is no algorithm that solves the consensus problem for even 2 processes.

**Definition:** Execution  $\alpha$  is **indistinguishable** from execution  $\beta$  with respect to process  $p$  if in both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ,  $p$  has the same initial state and receives exactly the same messages at the same rounds.

$$\alpha \stackrel{p}{\sim} \beta$$

## Proof


Assume there is a correct algorithm that solves consensus

$\alpha_1$ : Both processes start with 1 and no message is lost.

$\alpha_2$ : Similar to  $\alpha_1$  except that the last message from  $p$  to  $q$  is lost.

$\alpha_3$ : Similar to  $\alpha_2$  except that the last message from  $q$  to  $p$  is lost.

$$\alpha_1 \stackrel{p}{\sim} \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_2 \stackrel{q}{\sim} \alpha_3$$



## Proof


Assume there is a correct algorithm that solves consensus

$\alpha_1$ : Both processes start with 1 and no message is lost.

$\alpha_2$ : Similar to  $\alpha_1$  except that the last message from  $p$  to  $q$  is lost.

$\alpha_3$ : Similar to  $\alpha_2$  except that the last message from  $q$  to  $p$  is lost.

$$\alpha_1 \stackrel{p}{\sim} \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_2 \stackrel{q}{\sim} \alpha_3$$



## Proof


Assume there is a correct algorithm that solves consensus

$\alpha_1$ : Both processes start with 1 and no message is lost.

$\alpha_2$ : Similar to  $\alpha_1$  except that the last message from  $p$  to  $q$  is lost.

$\alpha_3$ : Similar to  $\alpha_2$  except that the last message from  $q$  to  $p$  is lost.

$$\alpha_1 \stackrel{p}{\sim} \alpha_2 \quad \alpha_2 \stackrel{q}{\sim} \alpha_3$$




## Proof (cont.)

$\alpha_x$ : Both processes start with 1 and all messages are lost.

$\beta_x$ : Similar to  $\alpha_x$  except that  $q$  starts with 0.

$\beta_y$ : Similar to  $\beta_x$  except that  $p$  starts with 0.

$$\alpha_x \stackrel{p}{\sim} \beta_x \quad \beta_x \stackrel{q}{\sim} \beta_y$$



## Contradiction

## Message Omissions Randomized Consensus

**An Adversary** is an arbitrary choice of:


- Initial values for all processes.
- Subset of  $\{ (p_1, p_2, i) \}$  where  $p_1, p_2$  are processes and  $i$  is a round number.

The subset represents which messages are lost.



## Message Omissions Randomized Solution

Requirements:



- **Agreement:** For any adversary  $A$ :  
The probability that some process decides 0 and some process decides 1 is less or equal to  $\epsilon$ .
- **Validity:** If all processes start with 0, then the decision value is 0; if all processes start with 1 and no message is lost, then the decision value is 1.



## Message Omissions A Randomized Algorithm

At initialization one specific process,  $p$ , chooses a **key** at **random**, uniformly from the range  $[1..r]$ .

At each round the processes send the following:

- Initial value.
- **key** (for process  $p$  only).
- **color**




Each process holds a variable **color** initialized to **green**. If **red** message was received, or a message was missed, the process sets **color** to **red**.

## Message Omissions A Randomized Algorithm (cont.)

### Decision Rule:

A process decides 1 after  $r$  rounds if it knows that at least one process started with 1, it knows the value of **key**, and it has received all the messages in all the first **key** rounds and all of them were **green**. Otherwise, it decides 0.



## Correctness Proof

Set  $r$  to be an integer that is bigger or equal to the desired  $1/\epsilon$ . The algorithm satisfies the **agreement** and **validity** requirements because **for any adversary**:

- If no message is lost then all processes get all messages and decisions will be identical.
- Look at the first message omitted by the adversary: only if this message is omitted at round **key** there might be disagreement.
- Remember that **key** is selected uniformly at random from the range  $[1..r]$ .

## Fail-Stop Faults Problem Description

### Assumptions:

- $n$  processes connected by a full graph.
- Each process starts with an initial value  $\{0, 1\}$ .
- Synchronous setting - solution is required within  $r$  rounds for some fixed  $r$ .
- **The number of Fail-Stop faults is bounded in advance to  $f$ . A process may fail in the middle of message sending at some round. Once a process fails, it never recovers.**
- **No omission failures.**

## Fail-Stop Faults Problem Description (cont.)

### Requirements:

- **Agreement:** All **correct processes** do decide on the same value.
- **Validity:** If a correct process decides on a value, there was a process that started with that value.

## Fail-Stop Faults $f+1$ Rounds Algorithm

Each process maintains a vector containing a value for each process  $\{0, 1, u\}$ .  $u = \text{undefined}$ .

- Send your vector to all processes.
- Update local vector according to the received vectors (in case local vector has a “ $u$ ”, and any of received vectors contain “ $0$ ” or “ $1$ ”).
- After  $f+1$  rounds decide according to the local vector. If you have 1 in the vector then decide 1, otherwise decide 0.